



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/632,140	08/03/2000	Roger P. Hoffman	P/2-72 1313		
7590 03/14/2006			EXAMINER		
Philip M. Weiss, Esq.			PATTERSON, MARC A		
Weiss & Weiss Suite 251		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
300 Old Countr	y Road	1772			
Mineola, NY	11501	DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
		09/632,140		HOFFMAN, ROGER P.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Marc A. Patters	son	1772				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGE: - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may be availed to the second of the secon	ORY PERIOD FOR REPL' R, FROM THE MAILING Dole under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailing see 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expire, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	—· s action is non-fi	nal					
<u>'</u>	·			secution as to the	e merits is			
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	распости	in parto quayro	,,					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are	pending in the application.				·			
4a) Of the above cla	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/a	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are i	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/a	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/o	r election requir	ement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19				•			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copi	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<u>—</u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	certified copies of the prio	•	•	d in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (P	ГО-892)	4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Paten	t Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· _	_ Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	- 450\			
 Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) L 6) C	Notice of Informal Page 1975 Nother:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/632,140

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1 4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,882,746. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a paper which is non corrugated since a paper which is corrugated is not claimed.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri 8:30 AM 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/632,140 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1772